Tech. Center: 2168

<u>REMARKS</u>

**Introduction** 

Claims 1-10 and 12-15 were pending. Claim 2-10 and 12-15 have been amended

hereby. Claims 1, 14, and 15 are independent. Entry of this Amendment, and reconsideration of

the above-identified application in view of the following remarks, is respectfully requested.

Claim Objections

Claims 2-10, 12, and 13 were objected to by the Examiner as being of improper

dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. More

specifically, the Examiner requested that claims 2-10, 12, and 13 be amended to ultimately

further limit claim 1. Applicant has amended claims 2-10, 12, and 13 to recite "The method in

accordance with claim" instead of "A method in accordance with claim."

**Allowed Claims** 

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for deeming Claims 1-10, 12, and 13

as allowed over the references of record. More particularly, the Examiner states that the prior art

of record fails to teach or suggest "said schema renders unaltered underlying function calls which

define said first operation and said nested operation" as set forth in independent claim 1.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 14 and 15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 20030140332 (Norton) in view of U.S. Patent

Page 7 of 9

Application No.: 10/667,808 Attorney Docket No: 14846-16

Tech. Center: 2168

Application Publication No. 20040073870 (Fuh) and further in view of U. S. Patent No.

5,261,095 (Crawford).

Applicant has amended independent Claims 14 and 15 to include the limitation of

"said schema renders unaltered underlying function calls which define said first operation and

said nested operation." Since independent Claims 14 and 15 recite steps that are similar to

independent claim 1 with further limitations, the addition of the aforementioned limitation

should render claims 14 and 15 patentable over the art of record. In view of the amendments and

remarks set forth above, Applicant believes that the application including claims 1-10 and 12-15

is now in condition for allowance. Favorable action thereon is respectfully requested.

Application No.: 10/667,808 Reply Under 37 CFR 1.116
Attorney Docket No: 14846-16 Expedited Procedure

Tech. Center: 2168

Conclusion

In view of the above remarks, reconsideration and allowance of the present

application is respectfully requested. If any additional fee is deemed necessary, then the

Commissioner is authorized to charge such fee to Deposit Account No. 50-1358. Applicant's

undersigned patent agent may be reached by telephone at (973) 597-2500. All correspondence

should continue to be directed to our address listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 12/4/07

Raymond G. Cappo

Patent Agent

Registration No. 53,836

DOCKET ADMINISTRATOR LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC 65 Livingston Avenue Roseland, NJ 07068